

In-Ovation X A Story of Design, Development and Ingenuity

White Paper by Jerry R. Clark, DDS, MS Greensboro, NC







Jerry R. Clark, DDS, MS

is a board certified orthodontist who has practiced in Greensboro, North Carolina for over 40 years. For over 35 years he has lectured throughout the world on numerous topics related to the practice of orthodontics and he has published over 50 articles. He also founded Orthodontic Management Group which is now Bentson Clark and Copple the leading company involved in orthodontic practice transition. Dr. Clark was honored in 2017 by the AAO, receiving the prestigious Humanitarian Award for his service to the profesion and mankind

In-Ovation X A Story of Design, Development and Ingenuity by Dr. Jerry Clark



Introduction

Since the introduction of the In-Ovation R bracket over a decade ago, other manufacturers have been unsuccessful in their attempts to improve on its form, fit, and functionality until now.

Dentsply Sirona Orthodontics, the leader in orthodontic brackets, has taken a quantum leap forward with the new In-Ovation X bracket system. The project started with GAC assembling a group of noted orthodontists throughout the world to meet in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, their task was to define clinical design inputs for the "ultimate orthodontic bracket". The result was the In-Ovation X orthodontic bracket system.



The Story of In-Ovation X

That first meeting in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania was an organized collaborative effort to design an orthodontic bracket. The doctors attending this meeting included: myself, Antonino Secchi, Celestino Norbrega, Luis Nunez, Julia Garcia-Baeza, Ryan Tamburrino, Shalin Shah, Dan Fishel, Raffaele Spena, and Sam King (short biographies on these key contributors can be found in the appendix section towards the end of this paper). Critical to the design process were the members of the Dentsply Sirona Orthodontics Marketing and Research and Development Teams. For two days this group discussed the pros and cons of traditional edgewise verses self-ligating brackets, the design features of all the brackets presently available in the market place, the strong points and weaknesses of each of the brackets, and identified the characteristics that would be present in the "ultimate bracket". It was unanimously decided that self-ligation was the bracket design of choice.

The members of the Marketing and Research and Development Teams presented numerous design concepts for the "new" ultimate orthodontic bracket. The meeting was recorded, copious notes were taken, and all input was recorded and assimilated by the Research and Development Team to be used in designing the new bracket. This was the first time in my experience, such an exhaustive collaborative process had been utilized in the design of an orthodontic bracket. Less than a month later the team of doctors reconvened in New York to see the prototype that teams had developed. The doctors were amazed. In a short period of time the design engineers at Dentsply Sirona Orthodontics were 90% of the way finished with the bracket design. Several small suggestions and changes were then incorporated into the final design and In-Ovation X bracket was born.

The challenge then was to figure out how to manufacture the brackets since no off-the shelf machinery existed that could produce an orthodontic bracket to the tolerances desired by Dentsply Sirona Orthodontics.

The company's vision in making this considerable investment was to re-invent the way orthodontic brackets are manufactured in order to significantly improve the quality, precision, and accuracy of the finished orthodontic bracket. In order to manufacture these state of the art brackets, a brand new wing was added to the high-tech manufacturing facility in Sarasota, Florida. The production team customized manufacturing robots and developed processes that enabled them to produce orthodontic brackets with a degree of quality, precision, and accuracy never before attained in this industry.

Dentsply Sirona Orthodontics didn't stop there. They believed that in order to ensure the bracket was everything it was designed to be, clinical trials were initiated to prove the design concept. And now, after over 2 years of successful clinical trials the bracket is available for introduction into the marketplace.







The Manufacturing Process

With the release of the In-Ovation X bracket, Dentsply Sirona Orthodontics has revolutionized the way orthodontic appliances are manufactured. They have done this through the development and utilization of flexible robotic assembly systems and customized upstream manufacturing processes. This state of the art facility utilizes metal injection molding technologies as well as custom manufactured robotic systems with in-process vision inspection to build orthodontic brackets with precision and quality assurance unmatched in the industry. These robotic systems are capable of assembling the brackets to a 20 micron tolerance and then automatically inspect them to ensure they are within those tolerances before they leave the manufacturing station. From the raw materials to finished assemblies, the brackets are precisely formed, assembled, welded, polished and inspected using the most advanced automated technology available. No other orthodontic bracket available on the market today is produced with this degree of quality, precision and accuracy. In my assessment, the ingenious design of the bracket combined with the state of the art manufacturing process, will ensure a better treatment experience for both the patient and the clinician.



Traditional Edgewise Brackets vs. Self-ligating Brackets – A Brief Review of the Literature

Over the past 20 years a tremendous amount of research has been published in orthodontic journals about self-ligating brackets and the purported benefits in improving the efficiency of orthodontic treatment. Exhaustive studies have been conducted comparing virtually every aspect of the treatment of cases with traditional edgewise brackets versus self-ligating brackets^{5,6,7,8,19,21,22,23,24,26,27,28,29,30,33,34,40}. Length of treatment time, number of patient visits, patient chair-time, and other treatment parameters have been examined and reported on in the literature. Many other studies^{8,9,10,12,38} have compared friction, binding and the sliding abilities of self-ligating brackets when compared to traditional edgewise brackets.

The surprising results of all these studies are that there is really no industry consensus on which type of bracket will provide the best treatment for our patients. Many studies come to the conclusion that self-ligating brackets offer "no benefits" to the patient. Other studies show that there is less friction and binding, patient discomfort is reduced, teeth do move faster, treatment is completed faster, less chair-time is needed, and fewer appointments are necessary for the successful completion of an orthodontic case. In the bibliography of this White Paper Report I have listed many articles addressing this very question, "Are there any real treatment benefits that would justify the use of self-ligating brackets?" I urge the reader examine these articles and draw their own conclusions. Over the upcoming years I am sure many more studies will be performed and eventually we will have a clearer understanding of the true benefits of self-ligating brackets.

Because of the diverse findings and the vast differences of opinion, several years ago I decided to do a study of my own to try to determine if, in my practice, I noticed any real benefits to the utilization of self-ligating brackets. I decided to compare cases in my practice that were treated using traditional edgewise brackets with cases that were treated using In-Ovation R brackets. It was a simple study that compared three parameters of treatment:

- Number of appointments necessary to complete treatment
- Amount of chair-time required to complete treatment
- Length of treatment

This study was later published as a White Paper Report entitled, "Increasing Practice Efficiency and Profitability Utilizing In-Ovation Self-Ligating Brackets" and is available from the Dentsply Sirona website at: <u>https://www.dentsply.com/content/dam/</u> <u>dentsply/pim/manufacturer/Orthodontics/Brackets/</u> <u>Self_Ligating/In_Ovation_R/In_Ovation_R/120-089-</u> <u>04-r2-WP-Clark-qn8qvxd-en-1412.pdf</u>

In short, the use of self-ligating brackets in my practice resulted in 40% fewer appointments, up to 3 less hours of chair time per patient, and a reduction of 4.14 months of active treatment time. This report was also published in Ortho-The International C.E. Magazine of Orthodontics Vol.3 – Issue 1/2014 under the same title.



Appointments 9.6 vs 16.26 In-Ovation averages 40% fewer appointments than traditional brackets with ties. Time 302.55 minutes vs 476.76 minutes With In-Ovation* doctors realize a chairside time savings of approximately 3 hours per patient.



Months in Treatment 19.83 months vs 23.97 months In-Ovation finishes equivalent cases approximately 4 months sooner than traditional brackets with ties.

Jerry R. Clark, DDS, MS, Jack Gebbie, BS, Datatex Market Research Report Summary: Increasing Practice Efficiency and Profitability Using In-Ovation Self-Ligating Brackets, March, 2007. Datatex is a member of CASRO - Council of American Survey Research Organizations

Elimination of "round tripping" of teeth

As was documented in the literature on numerous occasions by: Sherruff⁸, Pizzoni⁹, Heano and Kusy¹⁰, Parkin¹² and many others, teeth are freer to slide on self-ligating brackets thereby creating significantly less binding and friction as the teeth move. When bringing in a high canine the wire can easily slide through the canine bracket as well as the bicuspids and molars thereby allowing the tooth to move occlusally without protruding the incisors.

Quicker archwire changes

It takes far less time to change archwires when using self-ligating brackets. The bracket door or clip is opened, the old wire is removed and the new wire is placed and the door or clip is closed. Some studies indicate a time savings of 7 minutes or more when self-ligating brackets are used as compared to using traditional brackets and ligating with metal or elastic ties.

Consistency of archwire engagement

Every self-ligating bracket, no matter whether the doctor or assistant engages the archwire in the bracket, produces a consistent amount of force on the tooth thus producing more predictable tooth movement. With steel or elastic ties there can be an inconsistency of force on the tooth after the wire is engaged in the bracket depending upon who is engaging (tying) the wire into the bracket and the method of engagement.

Security of archwire engagement

With traditional edgewise brackets if an elastic chain breaks, or an elastic tie comes off the tooth or a metal tie is loose and not properly securing the wire into the bracket sometimes significant undesirable tooth movement can occur. This does not occur with self-ligating brackets since once the door or clip is closed the archwire is secured into the bracket.

Fewer emergency visits for patients

Since the archwire is securely engaged in the self-ligating bracket, broken elastic ties or chains have no effect on the engagement of the wire into the bracket and therefore adverse tooth movement will not occur, thus eliminating the need for additional emergency visits to the office to replace them or tuck in protruding ligature ties that are sticking the patient.

Less discomfort for the patient

In a study published in Clinical Impressions entitled "Orthodontics from Good to Great" Dr. Derick Tagawa states, "The average pain-level response from patients with conventional brackets was 4 (pain was measured with 0 being no pain and 10 being severe pain) while the average pain-level from the Damon System patients with the self-ligating brackets was 1.3 with no pain reported higher than 4¹⁵".



Other Potential Benefits of Self-Ligation

Reduction of root resorption

Several studies indicate that the use of lighter forces and the elimination of "round tripping of teeth" may reduce the amount of root resorption experienced during orthodontic treatment, although other studies maintain this advantage is still up for debate^{31,36}, more studies need to be done.

Fewer patient appointments are necessary to complete treatment

Another potentially significant benefit for the patient is the reduction in the number of visits necessary to complete treatment when self-ligating brackets are used. Although there are some studies that indicate no time savings, reduced patient visits, or shorter treatment time, there are numerous published articles documenting that the use of self-ligating brackets does reduce the number of patient visits necessary to complete treatment.

Reduced chair-time to complete treatment

Another possible significant benefit of self-ligating brackets is the reduced chair-time necessary to complete treatment. Some studies demonstrate a reduction in patient chair-time up to 30% AND appointments can be shorter due to the efficiency of quicker archwire changes .

Reduced treatment time

There are many conflicting research studies concerning the reduction of treatment time when self-ligating brackets are utilized. Some studies indicate a time saving of up to 5 months^{5,6}. Other studies show no reduction in treatment time. Since self-ligating brackets are in essence edgewise brackets with a clip or a door, all should be able to agree that treatment should NEVER take longer when utilizing self-ligating brackets.

Increased practice profitability

If any time is saved in treating patients then that saving can impact the practice's bottom line. Noted and respected orthodontic practice consultant Mary Beth Kirkpatrick states the following: "Practices that consistently used self-ligating brackets for one year or more report the following:

- Collections/Production per doctor hour INCREASES
- Cost to complete treatment per patient
 DECREASES
- Schedule is less stressful for doctor, staff and patients
- Patients are pleased to complete treatment in less time
- Treatment Efficiency and profit per patient INCREASES

"Treatment efficiency is directly related to the number of visits. A commonly used measure of efficiency is to divide the treatment fee by the number of visits. With fewer visits, a practice whose efficiency quotient was previously \$240 per visit is now reporting that value to be upward of \$350 per visit."

Mary Beth Kirkpatrick

The Next Generation of Orthodontic Brackets

In the designing of the In-Ovation X bracket many of the proven positive qualities and design features of the In-Ovation R bracket were incorporated into the new In-Ovation X bracket. The new design also employs many features that were desired by orthodontists. Reduced curvature of the clip improves clip strength and increased wire retention forces, enclosed clip channel design mitigates calculus accumulation that can interfere with clip opening, opening and closing the clip without the need of a special instrument, tactile feedback when opening and closing the inter-active clip, full archwire/clip engagement during the working phase of treatment for maximum torque control, reduced bracket profile without reducing interactivity between the bracket and the archwire, smoothly contoured bracket wings and edges for maximum patient comfort, and increased tie wing clearance for ease of elastic placement without increasing the bracket unique.



Stronger Interactive Clip Deisgn

By reducing the curvature of the clip, the new In-Ovation X clip design has produced a much stronger clip, making it less vulnerable to strength degradation or distortion upon opening, while still maintaining its flexibility. This property allows for increased force to seat square and rectangular archwires securely into the base of the In-Ovation X bracket.

Enclosed Clip Mechanism

Calculus build-up also produces the undesirable effect of clogging the spring clip mechanism. This sometimes made the opening of self-ligating bracket clips difficult or even impossible. Now with the In-Ovation X clip mechanism completely encased in the bracket this is no longer a problem.

Lower Bracket Profile

In an effort to increase patient comfort, reduce occlusal interferences and decrease bond failures, the profile of the In-Ovation X bracket has been reduced by up to 14.3%.

New Facial Keyhole Opening Mechanism

The spring clip now has an easily accessible keyhole opening located at the top of the clip. To open the In-Ovation X bracket no special tool is needed, a traditional scaler is all that is necessary to engage the keyhole opening. Due to the strategic placement of the keyhole it is easy to engage the opening and almost impossible to deform the clip during the opening process. Finger pressure is all that is needed to securely close the In-Ovation X clip.



Clip Design Clip retention feature Redesigned clip

Greater clip disassembly force. Delivers tactile feedback when clip is closed



Body Design

Tapered occlusal tie-wings Optimal mesial-distal span Metal injection molded Triple chamfered slot walls Designed to minimize occlusal interference. Provides optimal rotational control. Enhances consistency, accuracy and strength. Facilitates easy wire insertion reducing the chance of archwire binding or crimping.



Base Design 80 Gauge single mesh Anatomical base design

Delivers proven bond strength. Compound contoured to minimize rocking.



Usability

Placement Vertical scribe line

Ligation

Enhanced tie-wing

Redesigned body contours

Identification Color ID, Laser etched Facilitates accurate bracket placement.

Accommodates double elastomeric chain or chain undercuts and a tie.

Designed to accommodate accessories.

Simplifies identification and bracket inventory management. Palmer Notation

Conclusion

Dentsply Sirona Orthodontics has invested millions of dollars in order to redefine the manufacturing process by which orthodontic brackets are produced. By taking the bold approach of creating a totally new orthodontic bracket from concept to its final introduction to the marketplace, the company has made an investment in the future of orthodontics and the way it is practiced by doctors throughout the world.

In coupling the benefits of active self-ligation as well as its new innovative product design features, the In-Ovation X system has ensured that both patient and clinician have the best possible treatment experience available.

Dentsply Sirona Orthodontics invites all orthodontists to avail themselves and their patients of the opportunity to use what the company believes is the finest orthodontic bracket ever created, In-Ovation X.

Bibliography

1. Turpin DL. "Evidence based orthodontics." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2000 Dec; 118(6):591.

2. Huang GJ. "Making the case for evidednce-based orthodontics." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2004 Apr;125(4):405-06.

3. Turpin DL. "Changing times challenge members... then and now." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2004 Jul;126(1):1-2.

4. Turpin DL. "Putting the evidence first." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2005 Oct;128(4): 415.

5. Harradine NWT. "Self-ligating brackets and treatment efficiency." *Clin Orthod Res* 2001; 4: 220 – 227.

6. Eberting JJ, Straja SR, Tuncay OC. "Treatment time, outcome and patient satisfaction comparisons of Damon and conventional brackets." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2001;4:220-7.

7. Shivapuja PK, Berger J. "A comparative study of conventional ligation and self-ligation bracket systems." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1994; 106: 472 – 480.

8. Sherruff TS, Birnie DJ. "A Comparative In Vitro Study of the Frictional Characteristics of Two Types of Self-Ligating Brackets and Two Types of Pre-Adjusted Edgewise Brackets Tied with Elastomeric Ligatures." *Eur. J. Orthod.* 283-91; June, 1998

9. Pizzoni L; Ravnholt G; Melsen B. "Frictional Forces Related to Self-Ligating Brackets." *Eur. J. Orthod.* 283-291; June 1998

10. Henao SP, Kusy RP. "Evaluation of the frictional resistance of conventional and self-ligating bracket designs using standardized archwires and dental typodonts." *Angle Orthod.* 2004 Apr; 74(2):202-11.

11. Damon DH. "The rationale, evolution and clinical application of the self-ligating bracket." *Clin Ortho Res.* 1998 Aug;1(1);52-61.

12. Parkin N. "Clinical pearl: clinical tips with System-R". J Orthod. 2005 Dec;32(4):244-6.

13. Harradine NW. "Self-ligating brackets: where are we now?" *J Orthod.* 2003 Sep;30(3)262-73.

14. Andrews LA. "The six keys to normal occlusion." *Am. J. Ortho.* 1972 Sept. 62(3):296-309.

15. Tagawa D. "Orthodontics from Good to Great." *Clinical Impressions* 2006; 15:5.

16. Miles P, Weyant R. "Porcelain brackets during initial alignment: are self-ligating brackets more efficient?" *Aust Orthod J.* 2010 May (1)21-6.

17. Clark JR. "Increasing Practice Efficiency, Profitability Utilizing InOvation R Self-ligating brackets." *Ortho-The International CE Magazine of Orthodontics*, Vol.3-1/2014 18. Songra G; Clover M; Atack N; Ewings P; Sherriff M; Sandy J; Ireland A. "Comparative assessment of alignment efficiency and space closure of active and passive self-ligating vs conventional appliances in adolescents: A single-center randomized controlled trial." *Am. J. Orthod Dentofac Orthop* 2014 May; 145: 569-578.

19. Kaukasam V; Jagdish N; Chitharanjan AB. "Self-ligation and faster treatment time – a myth." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2014 Nov; 145:544-5.

20. Voudouris JC. "Interactive edgewise mechanisms: form and function comparison with conventional edgewise brackets." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1997;111:119-40.

21. Pandis N, Polychronopoulou A, Eliades T. "Self-ligating vs conventional brackets in the treatment of mandibular crowding: a prospective clinical trial of treatment duration and dentl effects." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2007; 132:208-15.

22. Scott P, DiBiase AT, Sherrif M, Cobourne MT. "Alignment efficiency of Damon 3 self-ligating and conventional orthodontic bracket systems: a randomized clinical trial. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthod* 2008; 134:470.e1-e8.

23. Fleming PS DeBiase AT, Sarri G, Lee RT. "Efficiency of mandibular arch alignment with 2 pre-adjusted edgewise appliances." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2009; 135:597-602.

24. Pandis N, Fleming PS, Spineli LM, Salanti G. "Initial orthodontic alignment effectiveness with self-ligating and conventional appliances: a network meta-analysis in practice." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2014; 145(4 Suppl):S152-63.

25. Harradine N. "Self-ligating brackets increase treatment efficiency." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2013; Jan; 143(1)10-8,11-9.

26. Schismenos CK. "Don't throw the scientific self-ligation baby out with the commercial bathwater." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2012; Jan; 141(1):2-3.

27. Paquette DE. "Biased look at self-ligation." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2011; May;139(5)574.

28. Kaklamanos EG, Athanasiou AE. "Systematic review of self-ligating brackets." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2011; Feb;139(2):145-6.

29. Gottsegen MI. "Self-ligating brackets: looking back and going forward." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2010; Nov;138(2):128-31.

30. Chen SS(1), Greenlee GM, Kim JE, Smith CL, Huang GJ. "Systematic review of self-ligating brackets." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2010; June; 137(6):726-27.

31. Weltman B(1), Vig KW, Fields HW, Shanker S, Kaizar. "Root resorption associated with orthodontic tooth movement: a systematic review." *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2010; Apr;137(4):462-76. 32. Nalcaci R(1), Ozat Y, Cokakoglu S, Turkkahraman H, Onal S, Kaya S. "Effect of bracket type on halitosis, periodontal status, and microbial colonization." *Angle Orthod* 2014; May;84(3)479-85.

33. Machibya FM, Dao X, Zhao L, Hu M. "Treatment time, outcome, and anchorage loss comparisons of self-ligating and conventional brackets." *Angle Orthod* 2013;Mar;83(2)280-5.

34. Johansson K(1), Lundstrom F. "Orthodontic treatment efficiency with self-ligating and conventional edgewise twin brackets: a prospective randomized clinical trial." *Angle Ortho* 2012; Sept;82(5):929-34.

35. Prettyman C(1), Best AM, Lindauer SJ, Tufekci E. "Self-ligating vs conventional brackets as perceived by orthodontists." *Angle Orthod* 2012;Nov82(6):1060-6.

36. Leite V(1), Conti AC, Navarro R, Almeida M, Oltamari-Navarro P, Almeida R. "Comparison of root resorption between self-ligating and conventional preadjusted brackets using cone beam computer tomography." *Angle Orthod* 2012;Nov;82(6)1078-82.

37. Brauchli LM(1), Steineck M, Wichelhaus A. "Active and passive self-ligation:a myth? Part 1: Torque control." *Angle Orthod* 2012;Jul;82(4):663-9.

38. Leite VV, Lopes MB, Gonini Junior A, Almeida MR, Moura SK, Almeida RR. "Comparison of frictional resistance between self-ligating and conventional brackets tied with elastomeric and metal ligature in orthodontic archwires." *Dental Press J Orthod* 2014 May-June;19(3):114-9.

39. Pesce RE, Uribe F, Janakiraman N, Neace WP, Peterson DR, Nanda R. "Evaluation of rotational control and forces generated during first-order archwire deflections: a comparison of self-ligating and conventional brackets." *Eur J Orthod* 2014; June;36(3)245-54.

40. Wright N(1), Modarai F, Cobourne MT, Dibiase AT. "Do you Damon? What is the current evidence base underlying the philosophy of this appliance system." *J Orthod* 2011; Sep;38(3):222-230.



Dr. Antonino Secchi is a Former Clinical Director of the Department of Orthodontics at the University of Pennsylvania, the same school where he received his DMD. Certificate in Orthodontics, and a Master of Science in Oral Biology. Dr. Secchi is a Diplomate of the American Board of Orthodontics and member of the Edward H. Angle Society of Orthodontists. At the University of Pennsylvania, he has developed and implemented courses on Orthodontic treatment Mechanics. Straight Wire Appliance Systems and Functional Occlusion in Orthodontics for postdoctoral orthodontic residents.



Dr. Celestino Norbrega, is

the director of ORTOGEO, an orthodontics school with over 20 years of experience bringing scientific research and cutting-edge technology to professionals and patients. He completed his general dental training at Sao Paulo State University, Brazil, his certificate in Orthodontics in Rio de Janeiro State at Brazilian Dental Association and his Mastering of Dental Science in 1996.



Dr. Julia Garcia-Baeza, is a Diplomate of the American Board of Orthodontics and member of the Spanish Society of Orthodontics. She received her DMD from the University European of Madrid, Spain; and her Certificate in Orthodontics, and Master of Science in Oral Biology from the University of Pennsylvania.



Dr. Luis Nunez, DDS, University of the Uruguayan Republic, Uruguay, 1996. Former Assistant Professor, Department of Orthodontics, Dental Faculty, University of the Uruguayan Republic, 1997 - 2007. Graduated from two long-term orthodontic courses at the Uruguayan University. Graduated from Roth / Williams long term course, Catholic University, Uruguay. 2002 - 2004. Course taught by Drs. Ronald Roth, Robert Williams, Anka Sapunar and assistants.



Dr. Daniel L. W. Fishel, DMD is dual specialty trained in orthodontics and periodontics. He completed his dental training at the Harvard School of Dental Medicine and his residency training at the University of Pennsylvania.



Dr. Samuel King received his DDS at the Ohio State University, he matriculated to the University of Pennsylvania in Philadelphia. There he completed his three year residency in orthodontics and received a Master of Science in Oral Biology. During this time, he took additional training in facial and dental esthetics and function and completed the two year course at the Roth-Williams Advanced Education in Orthodontics Program.



Dr. Shalin Shah earned his Bachelor of Arts, Doctorate of Dental Medicine, Certificate in Orthodontics, and Masters of Science in Oral Biology from the University of Pennsylvania. He is also on the faculty at the University of Pennsylvania and lectures nationally and internationally for Complete Clinical Orthodontics and Roth-Williams Center for Functional Occlusion.



Dr. Raffaele Spena received his degree in Odontoiatria e Protesi Dentaria at the II Facoltà di Medicina e Chirurgia of napoli, his Certifi cate in Orthodontics at the Dental School of the University of Pennsylvania, and his degree of "Specialty in Orthodontics" at the University of Ferrara.



Dr. Ryan Tamburrino, grew up in Pittsburgh, and his tinkering and technical interests during his early years led him to Duke University where he received degrees in Biomedical Engineering and Mechanical Engineering/Materials Science. Wanting to also to be involved in healthcare, he enrolled at the University of Pennsylvania where he received his Doctorate of Dental Medicine and was Chief Resident while obtaining his specialty Certificate in Orthodontics. In addition to private practice, Dr. Tamburrino is on the faculty at the University of Pennsylvania in the Department of Orthodontics.



The Dental Solutions Company

Dentsply Sirona is the world's largest manufacturer of professional dental products and technologies. We create industry-leading positions and platforms across consumables, equipment, technology, and specialty products. We are committed to introducing new, innovative products and complementary, end-to-end solutions to drive better, safer and faster dentistry.

To learn more, visit us online at dentsplysirona.com.



Dentsply GAC International One CA Plaza, Suite 100 Islandia, NY 11749

©2016 Dentsply GAC Intl. All Rights Reserved 0280-MM-120-289-04 Rev. 01